



RÁBAGO ENERGY LLC



Value of Solar in Rates

Presentation for Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-00000J-14-0023

7 May 2014

Karl R. Rábago, Rábago Energy LLC, RabagoEnergy.com

My Experience at Austin Energy

- ▶ Dedicated distributed energy services function: Efficiency, Demand Response, Municipal Solar, Customer Solar, Electric Vehicles, Green Building, Energy Code, Large Accounts, Data Analysis
- ▶ World-class energy efficiency programs with measured success (23% lower bill than in Texas); 18 years between rate cases; paid cash for smart grid
- ▶ Distributed solar as a resource
- ▶ Systems perspective, comprehensive programsTestimony in VA (2), GA (2), NC (2), LA, MI (2), IA, KY (2), FL, TVA, MO, DC
- ▶ Drafted and helped implement Value of Solar Tariff law in MN
- ▶ Webinars, seminars, consulting & advisory nation-wide
- ▶ Support and participation with RMI eLab Initiative
- ▶ Articles, white paper, testimony, commentary at www.rabagoenergy.com

Utility Transformation: On the Road to a New Business Model

<i>From</i>	<i>To</i>
"Ratepayers"	Empowered customers
1-way electron flow	3-way dynamic interactions: U to C, C to U, C to C; facilitated by new market entrants
"Throughput" model where assets equal wealth and utilities sell a commodity	"Integrated services" model where the utility creates value for customers and shareholders by delivering services
"A requirement to take energy"	Customers manage and self-generate
Customer control as a threat	Distributed energy services as a revenue center

Rates should reflect costs AND support policy

Issues with Traditional Net Metering

- ▶ PURPA legacy
- ▶ Relationship between retail rates and solar value
- ▶ Accounting under-recovery for the utility, impacts between rate cases
- ▶ Perverse results with tiered rates
- ▶ Energy efficiency incentives hidden in netting
- ▶ Low payments for solar offset & (some places) excess energy
 - Reduces optimal investment size
 - Encourages consumption during periods of solar production
- ▶ Monthly true-up leads to sub-optimal system size; sub-optimal investment per install

The Ideal Distributed Solar Tariff

- ▶ Fair to the utility and non-solar customers
- ▶ Fair compensation to the solar customer
- ▶ Decouple compensation from incentives
- ▶ Align public policy goals (decouple compensation from consumption)
- ▶ Intuitively sound and administratively simple

Historical Antecedents

- ▶ PURPA (US Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act of 1978)
- ▶ Externalities
- ▶ Price \neq Cost
- ▶ Green Power
- ▶ *Small Is Profitable* (<http://www.smallisprofitable.org/>)
- ▶ Local Integrated Resource Planning

Problems Applying Traditional Avoided Cost Thinking to Distributed Solar

- ▶ Point for calculation of “indifference value” is customer meter, not the large-scale generator busbar
- ▶ Customer-generators assume responsibility for capital risk, operating risk, and insurance risk
- ▶ State avoided cost systems seldom use full PURPA/FERC authority to consider avoided costs and construct technology-specific values
- ▶ FERC jurisdiction ends at the wholesale level
- ▶ State jurisdiction includes “public interest” ratemaking

Objective of Value of Solar Analysis

- ▶ Provide rates and services in the public interest that support:
 - Economic efficiency
 - Societal equity
 - Technological innovation
- ▶ Comprehensively assess benefits and costs to the utility, utility customers, and society
- ▶ Establish the economic indifference price at which the utility can compensate the customer or make and deliver the service themselves
- ▶ Uses: Benchmark IPP offers (2007); index for incentives (2010); as a foundation for a retail rate (2012)

Solar Value: Analytical Approach

- ▶ When a customer and the community invest in solar, we all benefit from useful, privately-funded, clean electricity at or very near the point of use.
- ▶ If the utility had to provide that same electricity, what would it be worth?
What is the fair value?
- ▶ Analysis shows value or avoided cost for:
 - Electric energy
 - Electric capacity
 - Transmission (energy & capacity)
 - Distribution (energy & capacity)
 - Line losses (transmission & distribution)
 - Fuel price hedging (cost to maintain stable fuel prices)
 - Environmental value (non-fossil, carbon-free, "waterproof")
- ▶ Analysis shows additional societal value, often >2X utility value, for jobs, economic development, local tax revenues, etc.

Two Simple Changes

- ▶ **Compensation** - Change **from**:
 - “retail up to consumption, then something else” (avoided cost/fuel, avg. retail, etc.)”**change to**
 - “annually updated value of solar (present value of 30-year stream) for **ALL** solar generation
- ▶ **Rate Design** - Calculate bill by charging for total consumption as if the customer had no solar, then credit **ALL** solar production at the value of solar rate (other options possible)

Billing the Value of Solar Tariff

Customer Charge (per customer)	\$
Energy Charge (per total kWh use)	\$
Fuel Charge (per total kWh use)	\$
Other Charges	\$
Total Charges	\$
Value of Solar <u>Credit</u> (per solar kWh)	<u>(\$)</u>
Total (net) Bill	\$

- ▶ The solar customer is charged for all energy consumption as if the customer did not have a solar system. This ensures that utility cost of service is always covered, regardless of solar system performance.
- ▶ The solar customer is credited for all solar generation at the annually adjusted VOS rate, empirically derived, based on actual values.
- ▶ The customer pays any net charges, carries over net credits to the next month, for 1 year.
- ▶ All credits remaining at the end of the year are zeroed out. (tax issue)
- ▶ The utility accounts for the difference between the charges and the credits through the fuel factor.

Major Benefits of VOS Approach

- ▶ Reduces or eliminates class subsidies
- ▶ Eliminates need and justification for “stand-by” charges
- ▶ Explicitly charges for consumption; keeps utility whole on cost of service (some utility upside due to conservative calculation approach)
- ▶ Explicit incentive for efficiency
- ▶ Annual adjustment prevents over- or under- payment as utility costs change
- ▶ Better aligns with sound rate making principles
- ▶ Reduces simple payback; reduces pressure on incentives

Beyond Value of Solar

- ▶ Value of Storage - Stationary, and soon, the electric vehicle kind (operating in V-to-Grid settings)
- ▶ Value of Smar^ts - smart inverters, home, local grids, substations and feeders
- ▶ Value of Securⁱty - smart, self-healing, storm-resistant, secure grids and micro grids
- ▶ Value of Savⁱngs - customer or utility controlled curtail-able and shape-able loads interacting in dynamic curtailment markets

Handouts:

Solar Rate Design Options
Benefits Comparison

Thanks!

Karl R. Rábago

karl@rabagoenergy.com

+1.512.968.7543